

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 MARIO ROBLES, }
12 Petitioner, } 1:02-cv-05329-OWW-TAG HC
13 v. } ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
14 } RECOMMENDATION
15 GEORGE M. GALAZA, } (Doc. 21)
16 Respondent. } ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT
17 } OF HABEAS CORPUS
18 } (Doc. 1)
19 } ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO
20 } ENTER JUDGMENT

21 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus
22 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

23 On March 31, 2005, the Magistrate Judge assigned to the case filed a Report and
24 Recommendation recommending that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be DISMISSED because
25 the petition does not allege grounds that would entitle Petitioner to habeas corpus relief. (Doc. 21).
26 This Report and Recommendation was served on all parties and contained notice that any objections
27 were to be filed within ten days from the date of service of that order. On April 28, 2005, Petitioner
28 filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. (Doc. 22).

29 //

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de*
2 *novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Petitioner's objections,
3 the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is supported by the
4 record and proper analysis. Petitioner's objections present no grounds for questioning the Magistrate
5 Judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

6 1. The Report and Recommendation issued March 31, 2005, (Doc. 21) is ADOPTED IN
7 FULL;
8 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1) is Dismissed; and
9 3. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to ENTER JUDGMENT for Respondent and close the
10 file.

11
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 **Dated:** May 19, 2005
14 emm0d6

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28